

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,588	04/04/2006	Keiichi Hirano	Q94096	3962	
2337) 7590 I 1009/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYI, VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PERREIRA, M	PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	71, DC 20057		1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,588	HIRANO, KEIICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MELISSA PERREIRA	1618		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MELISSA PERREIRA	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period in the property of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15 - Failure to reply within the size or extended period for reply with by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(4p).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	eptember 2009.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		w the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
			FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 25 LLC C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
a)⊠ All b)_ Some c)_ None of. 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/06)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/07.

6) Other: ___

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-5 and 11 in the reply filed on 9/17/09 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of group I would necessarily encompass examination and searching of the subject matter of claims 6-10 and 12. Applicant asserts that the Examiner has not shown that it would be a burden to examine all of the species. This is not found persuasive because the apparatus may contain resins having different chemical formulas and thus the process can be practiced via another materially different apparatus. The resin material species have different chemical formulas and thus would require a separate search. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- Claims 6-10 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group II and species there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/17/09.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1618

 Claims 1-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (US 5,932,178) in view of Osaki et al. (JP 08-325169) and in further view of Hyodo et al. (US 6,827,838B2).

- 5. Yamazaki et al. (US 5,932,178) discloses a process for labeling FDG with ¹⁸F⁻ via a FDG synthesizer comprising a labeling reaction resin column, such as a polymer-supported phase-transfer catalyst resin (i.e. polystyrene resin) having a fixed phosphonium salt. The ¹⁸O (water), ¹⁸F⁻ is passed through the column to trap ¹⁸F⁻ as the column was heated within a range of from 80 to 100°C. Acetonitrile is passed through the column containing ¹⁸F⁻ to dry the labeling reaction resin. Helium gas was passed through the labeling reaction resin to sufficiently dry the column. Then, a triflate solution (i.e. 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2-O-trifluoromethanesulfonyl-β-D-mannopyranose) is passed through the dried labeling reaction resin column to cause a displacement reaction (column 7, lines 30-63; column 2, lines 31-38; example 4). The FDG synthesizer comprises a flow rate control means. Yamazaki et al. does not disclose the step of passing carbon dioxide through the column or the anion-exchange resin of the instant claims 4 and 11.
- 6. Osaki et al. (JP 08-325169) discloses the process of producing a ¹⁸F-labeled organic compound in high yield using a phosphonium resin where the ring P is a crosslinked alkylstyrene halide-styrene copolymer carrier; Y is an alkyl; and Z is a counter ion, not excluding HCO₃ or CO₃ (below). The process involves containing the ¹⁸F-containing water and treating the resin with a solution containing a substrate of the formula XR (where X is a leaving group).

Art Unit: 1618

- 8. Hyodo et al. (US 6,827,838B2) discloses the method of separating and recovering ¹⁸F⁻ from ¹⁸O water at high purity and efficiency (abstract) as ¹⁸O water is expensive, and since a few grams of it is required for a single irradiation and generation of ¹⁸F⁻. A conventional ¹⁸F⁻ recovery method is based on the use of an ion exchange resin where ¹⁸F⁻ is separated from ¹⁸O water by ion exchange, and then the ¹⁸F⁻ is recovered from the ion exchange resin by using, e.g., carbon dioxide (column 1, lines 29-49).
- 9. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute/utilize the phosphonium-crosslinked alkylstyrene halide-styrene copolymer resin of Osaki et al. for the polymer-supported phase-transfer catalyst resin (i.e. polystyrene resin) having a fixed phosphonium salt of Yamazaki et al. as both disclosures are drawn to the process for ¹⁸F-labeling organic compounds. It is obvious to those skilled in the art to make known substitutions on compounds that are similar in structure and function to observe the effects on the function of such compounds and to use the observations/data to further manipulate a compound to generate the desired effect.
- 10. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to utilize carbon dioxide to completely and efficiently separate and recover ¹⁸F' from ¹⁸O water and allow for the reuse of ¹⁸O water as it is costly. The reference of Yamazaki et al. teaches of a FDG synthesizer comprising a flow rate control means and

Art Unit: 1618

thus the rate of the flow of the synthesis constituents, such as carbon dioxide can be controlled and it is obvious to vary and/or optimize the amount of (compound) provided in the composition, according to the guidance provided by (reference), to provide a composition having the desired properties such as the desired (ratios, concentrations, percentages, etc.). It is noted that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA PERREIRA whose telephone number is (571)272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Melissa Perreira/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618